### The Diachronic Puzzle of Georgian NP-Clitics

Old Georgian (5th–11th c.) noun phrases display four post-nominal elements usually analysed in isolation: (1) definite clitics =igi/=ese (2) indefinite clitic =me on wh-stems and post-posed numeral erti 'one' (3) additive/focus clitic =tsa (>-ts) (4) case-copying Suffixaufnahme. By Middle Georgian the article disappears, =tsa re-hosts to the DP-edge, and Suffixaufnahme wanes, whereas -me and post-posed erti survive. The goal is to derive placement, scope, and diachronic shift for all four phenomena with a single minimalist-syntax + DM model.

## **Examples**

```
1.
       sakhl igi (as opposed to 'sakhli' in Modern Georgian)
       house the
       'the house' (Adishi Gospels)
2.
       ra
               =me
       what =indefinite clitic
        'something' (Sinai Psalter)
3.
                       erti (as opposed to 'erti katsi' in Modern Georgian)
        k'ats = i
                ABS
        man
                       one
        'a certain man' (Martyr Acts)
4.
                                       ni (as opposed to 'velis shroshaneb'i in Modern
       srosan -ni
                        vel
                               -isa
Georgian)
       lilies
               pl
                       field
                               gen
                                       pl
        'the lilies of the field' (Mt 6:28)
5.
                       k'atsi (as opposed to 'didi katsi=ts' in Modern Georgian)
       didi
               =tsa
       big
               =too
                       man
```

# **Analysis**

'the big man also' (Adishi Gospels)

D° bears [DEF] or [INDEF] plus unvalued  $\phi$ /case; Foc° bears [+ADD]. Modifiers Agree upward for case, yielding Suffixaufnahme when PF retains the copy. No XP-movement is assumed; syntax halts once features are valued.

After Spell-Out two rules apply, following Halle & Marantz 1993; Embick & Noyer 2001; Halpern 1995:

- 1) Vocabulary Insertion assigns exponents.
- 2) Prosodic Inversion re-hosts any [+clitic] exponent to the first Prosodic Word of its domain.

## Sample Structure

```
(DP (NP (N saxli-sa)) (D [DEF]))
Linearisation: NP < D

VI rules:

√HOUSE ⇔ saxli

sa- ⇔ sa- (locative/nominalizer)

[DEF] ⇔ =igi / =ese
```

#### **Diachronic Shifts**

- Article loss: in Middle Georgian the VI entry for [DEF] loses [+clitic], so Prosodic Inversion no longer targets D; NP-D order surfaces as saxli (no article).
- Host flip of =tsa: the same Prosodic Inversion parameter changes from LEFT to RIGHT attachment, moving the additive clitic from adjective-host to noun-host (Old didi=tsa k'ats-i → Modern didi k'ats-i=ts).
- Suffixaufnahme reduction: optional PF deletion of the copied case ending after Concord; attested variation vel-isa-n-i (full) ~ vel-isa (reduced).
- 6. Hybrid Minimalism + DM

The analysis is couched in the Minimalist Program for narrow syntax but adopts DM's post-syntactic component: morphemes receive phonological content only at PF by Vocabulary Insertion. Thus syntax contributes hierarchical scope, while PF operations (Inversion, Copy-Delete) determine surface host.

#### References

Boeder, W. (1987). Suffixaufnahme in Old Georgian. Linguistics, 25, 131-165.

Boeder, W. (1995). Georgian syntax. In J. Jacobs et al. (Eds.), Syntax (pp. 117-160). De Gruyter.

Embick, D., & Noyer, R. (2001). Movement operations after syntax. Linguistic Inquiry, 32, 113-159.

Gippert, J. (2023). Case stacking in Old Georgian. DiKa, 2, 45-72.

Halpern, A. (1995). On the placement and morphology of clitics. CSLI.

Halle, M., & Marantz, A. (1993). Distributed Morphology and the pieces of inflection. In K. Hale & S. J. Keyser (Eds.), The view from Building 20 (pp. 111-176). MIT Pres s.

Harris, A. C. (1985). Diachronic syntax: The Kartvelian case. Academic Press.

Haspelmath, M. (1997). Indefinite pronouns. Oxford University Press.

Kamarauli, D. (2022). Definiteness attrition in Georgian. STUF, 75, 249-281.

Zwicky, A. M., & Pullum, G. K. (1983). Cliticization vs. inflection: English N't. Language, 59, 502-513.