

# On expressibility of satisfiability in submodels and extensions, II

Nikolai L. Poliakov, Denis I. Saveliev

We study conditions under which certain modal operators associated with relations between first-order models are expressible in infinitary languages.

For a class  $K$  of models of a vocabulary  $\tau$ , a binary relation  $R$  on  $K$ , and a sentence  $\varphi$  of a model-theoretic language  $\mathcal{L}$  (in the sense of [1]), let  $\vartheta_{K,R}(\varphi)$  express the fact that for any model  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $K$  there exists a model  $\mathfrak{B}$  in  $K$  such that  $\mathfrak{A} R \mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \models \varphi$ . Such  $\vartheta_{K,R}$ , treated as modal operators, lead to modal logics, which were introduced and investigated in [5] (cf. also [6], §6). One of problems arising in the study of these logics is to determine languages  $\mathcal{L}'$  in which the resulting  $\vartheta_{K,R}(\varphi)$  is expressible for a given  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ , and, in particular, to determine when it is expressible in  $\mathcal{L}$  itself.

For the case when  $R$  is the submodel relation, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{A} R \mathfrak{B}$  means that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a submodel of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  is an infinitary first-order language  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\lambda}$  (see [1, 2]) or its second-order counterpart  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\lambda}^2$ , this problem was investigated in [4]. It was observed there that certain  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\lambda}$ , in particular those with inaccessible  $\kappa$ , are closed under the submodel operator  $\vartheta$ , unlike the finitary language  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ , which does not share this property. Some necessary and sufficient conditions of a semantic nature were given that specify sentences  $\varphi$  such that  $\vartheta(\varphi)$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ .

Here we continue these studies. First, we provide a syntactic criterion for sentences  $\varphi$  with this property, which implies, e.g., that all  $\Sigma_2^0$ -sentences are such and that such sentences exist in  $\Sigma_n^0$  for any  $n$ . Next, we consider the converse case when  $R$  is the extension relation, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{A} R \mathfrak{B}$  means that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a submodel of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Denoting the corresponding modal operator by  $\vartheta^*$ , we observe that for  $\varphi$  in certain  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\lambda}$ , in particular, with  $\lambda = \omega$  or with inaccessible  $\kappa$ ,  $\vartheta^*(\varphi)$  on models of cardinality  $\geq \kappa$  is expressible in  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\lambda}^2$ . Then we show that for any  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ ,  $\vartheta^*(\varphi)$  is expressible by a  $\Pi_1^0$ -theory in  $\mathcal{L}_{\omega,\omega}$ , in striking contrast to the case of submodels as there are  $\varphi$  with  $\vartheta(\varphi)$  non-expressible in  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty,\omega}$ . Furthermore,  $\vartheta^*(\varphi)$  is expressible by a single sentence if and only if there exists a strongest  $\Pi_1^0$ -consequence of  $\varphi$ . This remains true for  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\lambda}$  with strongly compact  $\kappa$  and, moreover, for second-order languages  $\mathcal{L}_{\kappa,\lambda}^2$  with extendible  $\kappa$  (for these cardinals see, e.g., [3]).

## References

- [1] J. Barwise, S. Feferman (eds), *Model-theoretic logics*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic 8, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [2] M. A. Dickmann, *Large Infinitary Languages: Model Theory*, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, 83, North-Holland, Amsterdam–Oxford–New York, 1975.
- [3] A. Kanamori, *The higher infinite: large cardinals in set theory from their beginnings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, second edition, 2003.
- [4] D. I. Saveliev, “On first-order expressibility of satisfiability in submodels”, in: R. Iemhoff, M. Moortgat, R. de Queiroz (eds), *Logic, Language, Information, and Computation, WoLLIC 2019*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 11541 (2019), Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg, 584–593.
- [5] D. I. Saveliev, I. B. Shapirovsky, “On modal logics of model-theoretic relations”, *Studia Logica*, 108 (2020), 989–1017.
- [6] D. I. Saveliev, I. B. Shapirovsky, “Medvedev’s logic and products of converse well orders”, *Advances in Modal Logic*, 14, College Publications, 2022, 681–696.